PRESERVATION PLANNING
AT THE LOCAL LEVEL
...ALL PRESERVATION IS LOCAL!

OHP TRAINING
OCTOBER 16, 2015
SACRAMENTO

ROBERTA DEERING
CITY OF SACRAMENTO’S HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROGRAM PURPOSES:

IDENTIFY historic resources
PROTECT historic resources
ASSIST in the preservation and rehabilitation of historic resources
SACRAMENTO’S CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT (CLG) PROGRAM APPROVED – 1996

- Local, State & Federal Partnership Program
- Preservation Plan, or Element of General Plan
- Local preservation ordinance
- Qualified historic preservation review commission
- Program for survey and inventory of historic properties
- Public participation
- Perform responsibilities delegated by state.
- Eligibility for planning matching grants
In California, the responsibilities delegated to local governments by the state include,

“Certified Local Governments must enact and enforce a local historic preservation ordinance as well as enforcing the California Environmental Quality Act regulations in relation to historical resources. Additionally, CLGs, along with other local governments, have a role in the environmental review of federally-sponsored projects under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.”
EVEN IF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT IS NOT A CLG, IN CALIFORNIA...

Local governments are lead agencies for CEQA purposes related to discretionary projects... which includes the development of planning documents as well as preservation project design review.
CEQA STATUTE SEC. 21003

...policy of the state that:

(a) Local agencies integrate the requirements of this division with planning and environmental review procedures otherwise required by law or by local practice so that all those procedures, to the maximum feasible extent, run concurrently, rather than consecutively.

The environmental analysis is meant to inform the planning process.
Environmental checklist, includes biological resources, greenhouse gas emissions, land use/planning, cultural resources, air quality, hydrology/water quality, noise, transportation/traffic, etc.
THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE
CULTURAL RESOURCES IMPACTS

• Is it a historical resource?
• What are its’ significant features or characteristics?
• Would project significantly effect the resource’s eligibility?
• Would project significantly effect its significant characteristics, or would it comply with SOI Standards?
GENERAL PLANS

All local governments are required to adopt General Plans

While not required to include Historic Preservation/Cultural Resources Element,

Cultural Resources ARE an element of the environment in terms of CEQA

Local agencies are required to “...integrate the requirements of this division with planning and environmental review procedures...”
GENERAL PLANS

• General plans’ environmental reviews often include Master EIR’s – from which other planning documents and projects often tier
  • EIR’s Cultural Resources chapters
  • Identifying cultural resources – technical background reports – historical contexts and surveys
  • Assessing impacts from plan and mitigation measures
  • Cultural Resources Element in General Plan & “self-mitigating” plans/projects
Sacramento’s 2030 General Plan included an implementation program provision to:

The City shall develop a process and schedule for updating and completing existing surveys and undertaking surveys in areas previously not surveyed to ensure that a citywide survey program is established and implemented by 2030.
2035 Sacramento General Plan Update Scope:

- Assessment of city-wide survey efforts and updates;
- Four Historic Context Statements:
  - Railroad Context
  - Agricultural Industries Context
  - State Government Context
  - Post WW II Redevelopment Context
HISTORIC CONTEXT STATEMENTS

Movie Palaces
OR
Organic Moderne Style
  Crest Theatre

Agriculture, Grain Mills
OR
Concrete Construction
  Globe Mills
Formalized in 2030 General Plan, continues in 2035 Update:

**Planning.** The City shall take historical and cultural resources into consideration in the development of planning studies and documents.
RAILYARDS SPECIFIC PLAN

Station District – Existing Landmarks
Central Shops
Historic District – New Designation
SPECIFIC PLANS & SPECIAL PLANNING DISTRICTS

River District Specific Plan

• North 16th Street Historic District – new designation

• 11 new individual Landmarks – new designations
SPECIFIC PLANS & SPECIAL PLANNING DISTRICTS

Sacramento Center for Innovation Specific Plan
• Transcontinental RR alignment - eligibility determination
• Floodgate - eligibility determination

Downtown Housing Initiative Specific Plan
• RFP to include historical evaluations of opportunity sites
“THE USUAL” SURVEY OPPORTUNITIES

106 Reviews - Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act – federal funding/permits

• Sacramento’s R Street Streetscape Improvement Project
  • 9 blocks of R Street – rail & trucking corridor
  • 18 blocks of buildings on both sides of R Street –
  • NR eligibility determinations for two historic districts, including Ice Blocks, automatically lists in California Register of Historical Resources
R STREET HISTORIC DISTRICT
CLG Planning Grants
60/40 Match
Raised Streets
Hollow Sidewalks
Historical Survey
• Historic District NR
Eligibility Determination

“THE USUAL” SURVEY OPPORTUNITIES
“THE USUAL” SURVEY OPPORTUNITIES

Discretionary projects’ environmental analysis...

UC Davis Medical Center Seismic Draft Focused Tiered EIR

NORTH-SOUTH WING
“THE USUAL” SURVEY OPPORTUNITIES

GENERAL FUND FUNDED SURVEYS

WILLIAM LAND PARK HISTORICAL & CULTURAL LANDSCAPE REPORT
"THE USUAL" SURVEY OPPORTUNITIES

Graduate Student Interns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of California</th>
<th>The Resources Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY RECORD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1. Other Identifier:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P2. Location:</strong> Sacramento</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unrestricted</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a. County:</strong> Sacramento</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b. USGS 7.5' Quad:</strong> 1:24,000, 21-3W, 021-20W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>t. 3 of 3 of Sec. 3, T 3 N, R 20 E, M.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c. Address:</strong> 910 J Street, City: Sacramento, Zip: 95814</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d. UTM:</strong> (Use more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone __, mE __, nN __</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>e. Other Location Data:</strong> (e.g., parent, relevant directions to resources, elevation, etc., as appropriate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessor Parcel Number:</strong> 006-0042-001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P3a. Description (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries):**

Cesar E. Chavez Plaza (originally known as Public Square, and also known as Plaza Park) is one of nine original city blocks designated for public squares by John A. Sutter, Jr. in 1849. The design and look of the plaza has changed greatly since 1849, especially after 1965. The 1966 redesign altered the plaza's look, but retained some features and structures evident of its long history. The 1966 redesign of the plaza has remained relatively the same for nearly fifty years. (Please see Continuation Sheet)

**P3b. Resource Attributes (List attributes and notes):**

- HP21: Monument/Statue/Covettes
- HP22: Landscape architecture
- HP23: Urban Open Space
- A2: Landscaping

**P4. Resource Present:** Building [Structure] Object Site District Element of District Other (locates etc.)

**P5b. Description of Photo (view, date, accession #):** View looking southwest from northeast entrance; date unknown

**P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for building, structure, and object):**

**P6. Data Constructed/Age and Sources:**


**P7. Owner and Address:**

- City of Sacramento, CA
- Dept. of Parks and Rec
- 910 J Street, 5th Floor
- Sacramento, CA 95814

**P8. Recorded by:** (Name, affiliation, and address)

- Michael Kremer
- RP Intern
- Planning Div. City of Sac. 500 Richards Blvd.
- 3rd Fl.
- Sacramento, CA 95814

**P9. Date Recorded:**

- May 11, 2011

**P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

- (Please see Continuation Sheet)

**P11. Report Citation:** (Site survey report and other sources, or enter "none")

- (Please see Continuation Sheet)

**Attachment:** Image Photograph

**Aerial Photograph**

*Required Information
NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION FUNDED SURVEYS

PRESERVATION SACRAMENTO’S NEWTON BOOTH HISTORIC DISTRICT

NEWTON BOOTH NEIGHBORHOOD HISTORIC CONTEXT STATEMENT & DISTRICT NOMINATION

Sacramento, California

Prepared for Sacramento Old City Association

KARA BRUNZELL
February 17, 2014

SACRAMENTO MODERN’S CAPITOL TOWERS NR NOMINATION

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

Capitol Towers

Figure 7.

United Archival units at Capitol Towers, looking north to the Federal Building under construction.
OTHER SURVEY OPPORTUNITIES

Review of structures 50+ years old proposed for demolition to determine eligibility
BENEFITS OF IDENTIFYING HISTORIC PROPERTIES

• Federal Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits
• California Historical Building Code
• Mills Act Program
• Zoning & Land Use Allowances
• Rehabilitation Grant Programs
• CEQA Exemption when work complies with SOI Standards
THANK YOU!
ROBERTA DEERING
PRESERVATION DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
300 RICHARDS BOULEVARD, 3RD FLOOR
SACRAMENTO, CA 95811
(916) 808-8259
RDEERING@CITYOF SACRAMENTO.ORG