

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail
Page 1 of 36

B10. Statement of Significance (continued): The following Statement of Significance establishes the common historic context for California Historical Landmarks associated with the October-November 1769 expedition of Gaspar de Portolá through what is now San Mateo County, as part of a larger expedition through the southern San Francisco Bay region, encountering different Ohlone communities, known as the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail. This context establishes the significance of these landmark sites as California Historical Landmarks for their association with an individual having a profound influence on the history of California, Gaspar de Portolá, and a group having a profound influence on the history of California, the Ohlone people, both associated with the Portolá Expedition Camp at Expedition. This context amends seven California Historical Landmarks, and creates two new California Historical Landmark nominations.

The Statement of Significance applies to the following California Historical Landmarks, updating their names and historic contexts. Each meets the requirements of California PRC 5024.1(2) regarding review of state historical landmarks preceding #770, and the criteria necessary for listing as California Historical Landmarks. Because these landmarks indicate sites with no extant historical resources, they are considered "Site Of" landmarks with no associated historic resources. Each amended landmark is renamed by this action, replacing "Portola Expedition Camp" with "Ohlone- PortoHeritage Trail." Four sites with revised names are indicated in parentheses below.

Portolá Expedition Camp at Pilarcitos Creek (CHL 21)
Portolá Expedition Camp at Purisima Creek (CHL 22)
Portolá Expedition Camp at Gazos Creek (Quiroste Village) (CHL 23)
Portolá Expedition Camp at Martini's Camp (Montara Mountain) (CHL 25)
Portolá Expedition Camp at Woodside (Cañada de Reymundo) (CHL 92)
Portolá Expedition Camp at Camp Number 8 (Laguna Grande) (CHL 94)
Portolá Expedition Camp at Tunitas Beach (CHL 375)

This historic context also applies to two new California Historical Landmarks, Portolá Expedition Camp at Bean Hollow and Portolá Expedition Camp at Año Nuevo, under the Ohlone- Portolá Heritage Trail historic context.

Additional sites associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail, including existing CHL 24, 26, 27, and 394, and additional new landmark sites associated with this context, may be added by subsequent action of the State Historical Resources Commission.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 2 of 36

Statement of Historic Significance: Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Introduction

Before the Gaspar de Portolá expedition happened upon San Francisco Bay in 1769, *Alta* California was an unknown place except to native people for approximately 10,000 years. Among these native people were the Ohlone who were spread throughout the southern San Francisco Bay Region and beyond, composing 50 local tribes in many more villages. Each village had its own land and customs. Spanish explorers recorded villages at intervals of three to five miles in most areas.¹

However, after this Spanish “discovery” of the Bay, things changed rapidly. The Ohlone who lived in what we call San Mateo County today, were among the first in *Alta* California to be subjugated by the newcomers by being led into the Spanish missions. Their culture was nearly eradicated, and the population levels of the people fell dramatically. In fact, most of coastal California became organized within this foreign system. After a comparatively few years, with the changing of hegemony from Spain to Mexico to the United States, California became known the world over. As a result, there are two distinct stories to be interpreted in San Mateo County regarding this Ohlone/Portola Heritage Trail: that of the Ohlone Indians and that of the Portolá Expedition.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 3 of 36

The Tribal World of the Ramaytush Ohlone

According to historian Alan K. Brown, prior to the arrival of the Spanish, the aboriginal peoples of the San Francisco Peninsula, referred to as the Ramaytush, numbered more than 2,000. Ten tribes existed along the peninsula (from north to south): *Yelamu, Urebure, Ssalson, Aramai, Chiguan, Lamching, Cotegen, Puchon, Oljon, and Olpen*. Every tribe controlled the land and people within its own. Within each tribal region a number of villages existed, each with its own village head and set of high status families. Tribal size varied from 40 to 500 persons.

The term Ramaytush (pronounced rah-my-toosh), is commonly used as a designation for a dialect of the Costanoan language that was spoken by the original peoples of the San Francisco Peninsula. Richard Levy first used the term in 1978, but his usage derives from J.P. Harrington's interviews with Chochenyo speakers Angela Colos and Jose Guzman. Harrington's notes that *rámái* refers to the San Francisco side of the San Francisco Bay and *-tush* is the Chochenyo suffix for people. Thus, *rámáitush* referred to the people of the San Francisco Peninsula.² Most descendants of the indigenous groups of the San Francisco Bay Area, however, refer to themselves as Ohlone, hence the phrase, Ramaytush Ohlone.

The subsistence and material culture of the Ramaytush Ohlone did not differ from other neighboring Ohlone societies. The Ohlone harvested "plant, fish, and animal resources" from the environment and acquired additional resources through extensive trade networks, including networks that extended across the San Francisco Bay to the north and east.³ A sexual division of labor existed within Ohlone society: women harvested plant foods, including acorns and seeds, while men hunted and fished. In regards to the material culture, "women spent a considerable portion of their time each year weaving baskets, which were necessary for gathering, storing, and preparing foodstuffs."⁴ "Houses were hemispherical in shape and were generally made from grasses and rushes, although some were constructed from large sections of redwood tree bark. Women tended to wear skirts made of plant fiber, while men were generally unclothed. Women tended to have tattoos on their chins. Men had long beards with pierced ears and nasal septums."⁵

The socio-political landscape was determined in large part by the relationships between tribes and tribal leaders. As anthropologist Randall Milliken relates, "Within each tribal territory lived a number of intermarried families that comprised a small autonomous polity ... Members of the local groups hosted dances, pooled their labor during specific short harvest periods, defended their territory, and resolved internal disputes under the leadership of a headman."⁶

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 4 of 36

Of the ten tribes of the San Francisco Peninsula, the *Aramai* (whose territory was in today's Pacifica) were perhaps the most politically influential. Headman Luciano *Yagueche* of the village at *Pruristac* had at least three wives and six children. His offspring married the children of other headmen more frequently than any other headman or high-status person. *Aramai* men accounted for nearly one-third of leadership positions at Mission *San Francisco de Asis*, which is impressive given the tribe's comparatively small size. Luciano *Yagueche*'s son, Manuel Conde *Jutquis*, retained an important status at the mission from his baptism in 1779 until his death in 1830.

A second important leader from the village of *Pruristac*, Manuel *Liquiiquei*, perhaps a shaman or secondary headman, married the daughter Luciano *Yagueche*. Because marriages between members of the same village were quite unusual for the Ramaytush, Manuel *Liquiiquei* may well have been a very important person in the *Aramai* tribe. Another indicator of his high status was that of his son. In Ramaytush culture the prominence of the father was conferred to his children, and a position of high status in the mission staff required as a prerequisite high status in the neophyte community. Manuel *Liquiiquei*'s son, Luis Ramon *Heutlics*, stood witness at more marriages than any other Ramaytush person and eventually became *alcalde*.

Another important *Aramai* man, Jorge *Jojuis*, most likely a brother or son of Luciano *Yagueche*, served on the mission staff as a witness for many Ramaytush marriages. Members of the neighboring *Chiguan* tribe, however, did not have prominent roles at Mission Dolores. The *Aramai*, then, were not only the most politically dominant Ramaytush tribe—they dominated indigenous leadership at Mission *San Francisco de Asis* during its formative years from 1786 until the early 1800s.

Relations between tribes were managed by intermarriages, especially among high status families. Tribal conflict originated from infringements upon tribal territorial boundaries and from wife stealing; however, "despite their political divisions, the people of the Bay Area were tied together in a fabric of social and genetic relationships through intertribal marriages."⁷ In addition, tribes united for the purpose of ongoing trade both at the local and regional levels. Regional, seasonal fiestas brought tribes of differing languages and ethnicities together. As Milliken describes, "Regional dances provided opportunities to visit old friends and relatives from neighboring groups, to share news, and to make new acquaintances. People traded basket materials, obsidian, feathers, shell beads, and other valuable commodities through gift exchanges. Intergroup feuds were supposed to be suspended at the dances, but old animosities sometimes surfaced. All in all, such 'big times' strengthened regional economic ties and social bonds."⁸

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 5 of 36

The Ohlones of the San Francisco Bay Area shared a common world view and ritual practices. According to Milliken, "People believed that specialized powers came to them through association with supernatural beings or forces."⁹ One common practice was the planting of a painted pole decorated with feathers, to ensure good fortune in the next day's hunt or other event. Prayers accompanied by the blowing of smoke toward the sky or sun and offerings of seeds and shell beads were common practices.¹⁰ Any person with a special talent or gift was thought to be imbued with supernatural power. Dreams guided a person's future actions.

Oral narratives were both a form of entertainment and a means of education. The narratives typically involved Coyote, head of the animals, and the Duck Hawk, his grandson. Generally, the "narratives indicate that the present events and places in nature were determined by the actions of a pre-human race of animal beings during a former mythological age."¹¹

Similar to other tribes in California, "dances comprised the main form of communal religious expression. Each local group had its own series of festivals. Every festival had its own set of specific dances, each with a unique set of costumes, accompanying songs, and choreography. During the most sacred dances, participants and costumes could only be touched by specialists, since they were thought to be invested with supernatural powers. No dance cycle details were documented for any of the groups around San Francisco Bay."¹²

Spanish Exploration

Once in Ohlone country, the Portola Expedition found the native people to be most gracious, offering food and guidance. Furthest south in today's San Mateo County they first encountered the relatively large village of *Quiroste* close to Año Nuevo. Here the Spanish saw what they called *Casa Grande*, a structure in which all 200 of the village's residents could fit inside. After that were the *Oljons* of the area around San Gregorio Creek who possessed a population of nearly 160. Further north, at Purisima Creek, were the *Cotegen*, made up of about 65 Indians.

Just south of the *Aramai*, the Portolá party encountered the *Chiguan* of today's Half Moon Bay. According to mission records this tribe probably only numbered about 50 people.¹³ Nevertheless, as did most the Ohlones who met Portolá, they fed and gave directions to the expedition.

On October 31, 1769, Gaspar de Portolá and his party descended Montara Mountain

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail
Page 6 of 36

and met some 25 people of the Aramai tribe who most likely lived at the village of *Pruristac* in today's Pacifica, to the east of where the Spanish eventually camped.¹⁴ (However these Ohlones may have been from a second *Aramai* village, *Timigtac*, that might have been at Mori Point.)

It is important to state that throughout Portolá's journey up the coast and especially in Ohlone lands, the Spanish used the Indian trails, even referring to them as "roads." As James T. Davis states, early travelers and explorers in California "either received directions from Indians or were accompanied by native guides."¹⁵ Indian trails represent the earliest transportation routes in California, and these trails eventually became State Highways, public roads, and sections of today's California Coastal Trail. Trade among neighboring and sometimes distant tribal groups were facilitated by means of Indian trails. Indians usually exchanged goods by bartering or by purchasing with shell beads.

After leaving the *Aramai* and descending the discovery site on Sweeney Ridge, Portolá came across the Ssalson who numbered about 200 individuals. As the party moved south down the San Andres Valley, they met the Lamchin, the largest tribe of the Peninsula, numbering as many as 350 people. Their lands included today's Redwood City and the hill country to be west. As they moved closer to San Francisquito Creek and Palo Alto they met the *Puichun* who numbered about 250. Alan K. Brown estimated the total number of Ohlone Indians occupying San Mateo County at the time of the Portola Expedition at 2,000 "or more - - approximately four or five persons to a square mile."¹⁶

Spanish interest in *Alta* California began only 30 years after the first voyage of Columbus. After conquering the Aztec empire of central Mexico, Hernán Cortéz felt the tremendous wealth accumulated there could be gotten again to the north. He was reminded of Medieval tales about an island of Amazons led by Queen Calafia, from who the "Golden State" would eventually get its name.¹⁷ Legends filtered through to him of "Seven Cities" possessing fabulous fortune and *El Dorado*.¹⁸ However, after nearly two decades of effort, Cortes' attempts to explore northward were hampered by harsh environmental barriers and hostile natives.

In 1539, Cortés was replaced by Antonio de Mendoza whose mission was to consolidate Spanish gains in New Spain. Under Mendoza, Francisco de Ulloa was dispatched to further explore Mexico's north coast. His way was blocked when he discovered that *Baja* California is a peninsula. Also that year, Mendoza sent a Christian Moor named Estevanico and a Franciscan padre named Marcos north, overland toward the center of the American West. They heard tales that indicated the Seven Cities of

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 7 of 36

Cibola actually existed. While Estevanico was killed during the journey, Father Marcos returned and reported having actually seen one of the cities.

And so, in 1540, Mendoza had Vásquez de Coronado lead a well-equipped expedition with Father Marcos in tow, that ended up in western Kansas. When they reached the spot where Father Marcos had “seen” the silver city, they viewed a white washed adobe instead. Still they pressed on. The Indians they met repeated myths that encouraged the *conquistadores* to journey even farther into the wilderness; it is probable that the Indians hoped they would never return.

However, they did return but with the report that no fabulously wealthy civilizations existed in the north.

Although hardly pleased, Mendoza, in 1542, gave it another chance. This time he sent Juan Rodriguez Cabrillo (or his Portuguese name: João Rodrigues Cabrilho) with two ships, the *San Salvador* and *Victoria*. In addition to searching for wealthy civilizations, Cabrillo was also charged with finding the fabled “Northwest Passage.” They left Mexico and sailed west and then north around *Baja* California. During the voyage, Cabrillo broke his arm and died on January 18, 1543. Under a new commander, Bartolomé Ferrelo, the expedition proceeded north and nearly reached the Oregon border. They returned to port in Mexico on April 14. Of course, the Northwest Passage was not located.

For Mendoza, and the Spanish, the lessons of their efforts in the early 1540s were all negative regarding the future of lands north of Mexico. No great civilizations or fabulous fortunes existed there. Instead the environment was difficult to deal with and the Indian people had little of what they would consider wealth.

However, Spain’s progress as one of the world’s greatest maritime powers continued. By 1565, it controlled a lucrative trade from the Philippines. In 1566, Esteban Rodríguez and Andrés de Urdaneta established a reliable sea route from Manila, east across the ocean. The voyage made use of the currents and winds of the north Pacific. Ships would reach the western shores of North America and sail down the California Coast to ports in New Spain.

These Manila treasure ships or galleons risked many perils. In 1568, one was lost off Guam. Another was wrecked 15 years later. Some of the ships were forced back to Manila because of violent Pacific storms.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 8 of 36

Interest began to grow in establishing a port, as a resting place, on the California Coast.¹⁹ Ships' captains were therefore given instruction to survey the coastline for a likely choice for a safe harbor. This interest was made even more pertinent in 1578, when English raider Francis Drake rounded Cape Horn in his *Golden Hind*, searching to pirate Spanish treasure while exploring the Pacific for England. In 1579, he put in somewhere off the northern California coast (probably Drake's Bay) to repair his ship. Just a few years later, in 1584, Francisco Gali, with orders to explore the California coast in his Manila galleon, the *San Juan Bautista*, made landfall at Monterey and then cruised southward. Three years later, Pedro de Unamuno was in command of the Manila galleon and landed in the vicinity of Monterey after crossing the Pacific from Japan.

The final attempt by a Manila galleon to explore the Coast in the sixteenth century took place in 1595. Sebastian Cermeño aboard the *San Agustín* was returning to New Spain from the Philippines and followed the northern route, reaching California around Trinity Bay. He then worked his way south. Cemeño anchored his ship at Point Reyes, but it was hit by a storm. The *San Agustín* lost its anchor and ran around at Drake's Bay, becoming *Alta* California's first recorded shipwreck. The crew spent some time exploring the local area. They then left their cargo of wax and silks behind and boarded the ship's launch, called the *Santa Buenaventura*.

They set sail for Mexico. Along the way members of the party took notes describing the Coast, including San Mateo County's shoreline and Monterey Bay.²⁰

By the beginning of the seventeenth century, no port had been established for Spain on the California coast. Meanwhile, since the destruction of its *Armada* in 1588, Spain's strength as a great maritime power had been steadily diminishing. Recognizing the need for finding a suitable location for a safe harbor while being mindful of Spanish military reversals, Sebastian Vizcaíno proposed to New Spain's viceroy Don Gaspar de Zúñiga y Acevedo, Count of Monte Rey, to explore the coast at his own expense in return for being awarded command of a future Manila galleon.²¹ The Count agreed to the deal. Vizcaíno and company were to chart and sound bays, islands, reefs and bars. They were to take solar and stellar readings, note wind directions, map locations of anchorages, reference wood and fresh water sources and establish place names with their topographical descriptions.²²

The explorers commanded three ships and a long boat. They set sail on May 5, 1602. The ships found themselves continuously in difficult sailing conditions as they battled up the coast of *Baja* California, sometimes separated and usually short of drinking water. They reached San Diego on November 10, after more than six exhausting months. They rested here until November 20, landed at Santa Catalina Island, sailed through the Santa Barbara Channel, rounded Point Concepcion, caught an unusual favorable wind,

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 9 of 36

sailed past Carmel Bay and, on December 16, entered Monterey Bay, which they named for their viceroy. Vizcaíno described the place as “sheltered from all winds,”²³ and made Monterey out to be a perfect harbor. Perhaps Vizcaíno tailored what turned out to be an exaggerated account for the benefit of the man who could give him command of the Manila galleon. Perhaps he feared that without a positive report his reward might be lost.

At first it appeared as if Vizcaíno’s efforts had succeeded in getting him what he wanted. The Viceroy was pleased with the results of the expedition and liked the idea that a fine new port was named for him. However, Spanish colonial assignments were subject to change. Soon after Vizcaíno’s return, Monte Rey was given a promotion to viceroy of Peru. His place in New Spain was taken by the Marqués de Montesclaros, who did not trust Vizcaíno. He revoked his Manila galleon reward and had the expedition’s map maker tried and then hanged for forgery,²⁴ (although not necessarily because of his chart of Monterey²⁵).

Looking at the larger picture, the results of the Vizcaíno expedition had little immediate ramification. Not very much more was discovered from what Cabrillo had noted 60 years earlier. Spain made no moves to establish any presence along the California coast for another 167 years. The thinking was that with the winds and currents behind the Manila galleon once it reached the shores of North America, that there really was little need for a port. The normal route of return from the Philippines was to steer north to latitude 30° and find the favorable winds and then turn south as soon as seaweed was spotted, indicating land was near.

And so the California coast remained mostly a mystery. San Francisco Bay had still not been discovered; not until another expedition from Mexico to *Alta* California was sponsored by the Spanish, this one led by Gaspar de Portolá in 1769, was the Bay detected. Meanwhile, the Manila galleons were absolved of the responsibility of exploring the coast, with one exception, when Gamelli Carreir described his south bound voyage in 1696.

Nevertheless, barring his descriptions of Monterey, Vizcaíno’s charts were highly regarded for their accuracy, and his maps continued in use until the 1790s. Thus the myth of a safe harbor at Monterey was still on the minds of Spanish officials in the 1760s, when they finally got around to planning the colonization of *Alta* California.

Interest in *Alta* California was revived by José de Gálvez, who was made Visitor-General of New Spain in 1765 (a position actually superior to the Viceroy). For reason of personal ambition, Gálvez desired to give his sphere of influence the look of expansion

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 10 of 36

and not decay. Citing possible foreign interest in California, he proposed occupation of that forgotten place as a defensive measure.

He not only discussed the ever-present concern of English interests, but also mentioned rumors of Russian fur trapping activity in North America. Lack of resources and the remoteness of California were finally put aside. The Spanish now felt compelled to settle *Alta* California before a foreign interloper could. They desired that California become a buffer against possible aggression - - to protect Mexico and, indeed, all its New World holdings.

The strategy in settling *Alta* California was to establish overland communications and transportation. This seemed necessary because of the power of the English Navy. Lack of enough colonists to occupy the new frontier would be overcome by making the California Indians Spanish in their religion and in their language. That and a gradual intermixing of blood with the Spanish would create a new race of people loyal to the crown back in Spain.

In order to carry out his plans, Gálvez called upon a captain in the Spanish army, Gaspar de Portolá. Born in Balaguer, Spain in 1717,²⁶ the younger son within an aristocratic family, as a young man Portolá had no interest in joining the church or establishing a legal career, so he settled on becoming an officer in the army. He entered the service at the earliest possible age (17) at the lowest possible commissioned rank (ensign). He was involved with many military campaigns from the 1740s onward. However, promotions were slow; he was 8 years an ensign and 25 years a lieutenant before his promotion to captain, and that promotion came with an assignment that any officer in Europe would have thought a professional disaster - - for a job which he did not volunteer - - to permanent duty overseas to the "Army of America,"²⁷ part of Gálvez's military buildup to oppose possible foreign aggression.

The 50-year-old officer arrived in New Spain in 1767. Gálvez gave him his first major assignment - - to evict the Jesuit missionaries from the *Baja* in order to make room for the more favored Franciscans. This was a delicate assignment, and there can be little doubt that Portolá's good family connections made him the choice for the job. It is also likely that since he was fresh from Europe, he would not have the attachment to the priests who had been in the business of building missions in the area since 1697.

By the 1760s, the Jesuits had become target for legends about how they accumulated wealth and power where they served. While these accusations may have had truth to them in other places, in the *Baja*, they had little validity. In all of the Spanish empire, it would have been difficult to find a poorer, more inhospitable place.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 11 of 36

Complicating matters, there was already an army captain in the *Baja*, with a long record of service, Fernando de Rivera y Moncada, who was now required to give up his governorship of the *Baja* to this newcomer, without knowing why. Sympathy for the Jesuits was manifest among the troops. A popular revolt among the people was feared, making the order of expulsion

important to keep secret. Truly, Portolá's job required a tactful touch, and that he was able to carry this job out in a subtle way can be determined by the words of one of the Jesuits. Father Ducrue wrote:

This Officer of the King arrived full of false prejudice against the Company caused by ridiculous accusations. But then he saw the truth about California, and how false these slanders had been. He never ceased to deplore the disagreeableness of his orders, which notwithstanding he fulfilled in every detail, yet with every kindness, and sympathy for ourselves.²⁸

Portolá assigned military personnel to govern *Baja* until the arrival of the Franciscans. For Gálvez, the completion of this assignment meant he could move on to the next task. Once more he called upon Portolá to lead the effort - - this time to explore and colonize *Alta* California. At this point too, Gálvez brought in the leader of the Franciscans just assigned to the *Baja*, Junípero Serra. Portolá would become the military governor of the two Californias, as Serra would become Father-President of the two. The strategy directed Portolá and Serra to begin the colonization effort at the two best harbors, San Diego in the south and Monterey in the north. A *presidio* (fort) and mission would be established at both places and then a system or trail of missions would be placed in between the two about a day's walk apart - - similar to the string of missions in the *Baja*. The principal contingent of the expedition would be on land. Again the possibility of English naval aggression necessitated good land connection, making the location of trails imperative for the future.

What the Spanish called the "Sacred Expedition"²⁹ started out in the early months of 1769. Three ships were assigned the duty of supplying the main body of explorers who were on foot and mule. The vessels *San Antonio* and *San Carlos* were to rendezvous with the land contingent at San Diego. The *San José* was to meet them at Monterey. The land party moved up the *Baja* in two groups. Together they consisted of a number of Christianized Indians to act as interpreters and examples, a few dozen soldiers, a small number of blacksmiths, cooks and carpenters, one engineer and one doctor.

The *San Antonio* reached San Diego first after 54 days at sea. Despite their reputation

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portolá Heritage Trail

Page 12 of 36

for accuracy, charts, drawn up during the Vizcaíno expedition, had marked San Diego too far north. The *San Carlos* arrived three weeks later with a scurvy-ridden crew. In the meantime the land parties reached San Diego with only about half of the original 300 who had originally set out.

Portolá and Serra were certainly challenged. Dozens were sick. The sole doctor had gone insane. The *San Antonio* was sent back to Mexico for supplies.

Portolá, recognizing his duties, decided to move north to Monterey as ordered with about 60 of the healthy soldiers, the party's engineer, Miguel Costansó, and Franciscan Padre Juan Crespi.

Costansó and Crespi turned out to be terrific diarists of the journey. Crespi, who had been Father Serra's student back in Spain even before Serra became a Franciscan, was particularly enthusiastic about the things they saw and the people they met. Father Serra, meanwhile, took care of the sick and founded the settlement at San Diego, establishing *Alta California's* first mission and presidio. The route Portolá undertook was later referred to as *El Camino Real* (the King's Highway), which is close to U.S. Highway 101 today. His aim was to meet the *San José* at Monterey. Sadly, the *San José* was never heard from again - - lost at sea and lost to history.

Portolá's party anxiously scoured the coast for the *San José* as they came closer to Monterey. When they actually saw Monterey Bay, the men felt that this place could not be the location that Vizcaíno had described as a safe harbor. And so, they marched onward.

On October 23, Portolá's party reached Whitehouse Creek at the southwest tip of today's San Mateo County. Here they met the *Quiroste* people, and they noted their "*Casa Grande*".

Indicative of what was most on their minds, Crespi wrote about "eight or ten Indian men" who had come over "from another village". The natives seemingly communicated to the Spanish that within three days' march there existed two harbors, "and the ship is there: Divine Providence grant it be so, and that we reach there as soon as can be!"³⁰ Thus the hope that Monterey Bay still lie ahead with the promise of provisions from the *San José* remained alive.

The *Quiroste*s sent guides along with the Spanish as they proceeded north. They crossed Pescadero Creek and then rested at San Gregorio Creek on October 25 and 26. Crespi was impressed with the potential of the land he was seeing. He felt the area north of Pescadero Creek

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 13 of 36

to be “a grand place for a very large mission, with plenty of water and soil...” At San Gregorio Creek he wrote: “A good deal of land could be put under irrigation with this water; outside the valley all the hills are good dry-farming land.” Crespi noted the people at San Gregorio (the *Oljons*) were “fair and well-bearded...” Their men wore no clothes. They “go totally naked, with however much nature gave them in plain view.”³¹ Crespi was also impressed by the food offered by the Indians: “They brought us large shares of big dark-colored tamales they make from their grass-seeds, and the soldiers said they were very good and rich.” These tamales or pies and other foods provided by the Indians, probably assisted the expedition with fighting its problems with scurvy.

The party proceeded north. At Pillar Point a somewhat frustrated Costansó wrote:

We could not tell...whether we were far away from Monterey or close to it. We were frequently rained upon; our provisions were running out and the men’s ration reduced to a mere five flour and bran cakes a day...; the decision was made to slay mules for the soldier’s rations, but they (the soldiers) refused it until needed for a greater want.³²

Here they rested a day. Crespi, looking south at Half Moon Bay, was again positive about what he was seeing: “(this) would be a fine place for a town.” At Martini’s Creek he recorded that the party named it *Arroyo Hondo del Almejas* for the deep creek and its musselbed. He also noted seeing *farallones* (island rocks) “in front of us.”

On October 31, the party began its climb of either San Pedro or Montara Mountain. When they got to the top, Portolá noted that “25 heathens came up.” These were the *Aramai*. Here Portolá dispatched Sergeant José Ortega with eight soldiers to move in advance of the main body.

Meanwhile Costansó studied the *farallones* to the west and determined that “the Port of Monterey had been left behind.”³³ That night they made camp in the San Pedro Valley. Crespi wrote about this place:

Shortly after we reached here there came over to the camp a good-sized village of very good well-behaved friendly heathens, (who)...brought us a great many... tamales... There must be many villages...for we have seen many smokes from here; mussels are also very plentiful here, and very large... Many deer have been seen upon the hills here... Bear tracks and droppings have been seen...our sick men since we left the creek of La Salud (Waddell Creek in Santa Cruz County) have been

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 14 of 36

improving more every day...³⁴

On November 2, Costansó recorded how a group of the soldiers asked permission to go deer hunting. Some of these:

went a good distance from the camp and so far back up into the hills that they came back after nightfall. These men said, that...they had seen an enormous arm of the sea or estuary which shot inland...that they had seen handsome plains all studded with trees, and the number of smokes they had made out...left them in no doubt the country must have been well peopled with heathen villages.

Thus these hunters became the first Europeans to see the San Francisco Bay, most probably somewhere atop coastal hills now known as Sweeney Ridge. The other intriguing thing about this account is the reference to the “number of smokes” (from village fires), indicating the Bayside was “well peopled”.

On Friday, November 3, Costansó reported on a party of scouts who were sent up to the ridge line. They returned at night firing their guns. Crespi tells us that they had “come upon a great estuary.” Some seven villages were close-by, and they saw “many lakes with countless geese, ducks, cranes and other fowl...” However, the camp became more excited with the news that Indians, encountered by the scouts, said that a ship was anchored in this estuary. Some felt they had found the *San José* and Monterey after all. However Costansó and Crespi realized that the existence of the *farrallones* so close-by, indicated that this body of water was something else.

The next day, Saturday, November 4, the main party moved up the hill on an Indian path, perhaps close to today’s *Baquino* Trail. At Sweeney Ridge, they beheld the San Francisco Bay. Portolá wrote: “We traveled three hours; the entire road was bad. We halted without water.”³⁵ Obviously, the commander was not impressed.

Costansó was more descriptive:

...our Commander determined to continue the journey in search of the harbor and vessel of which the scouts had been informed by the heathens, and in the afternoon we set out...going along...the shoreline...until we took to the mountains on a northeast course. From their height we (saw) the great estuary...³⁶

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail
Page 15 of 36

Certainly, Crespi was the most loquacious:

About one o'clock in the afternoon we...went over some pretty high hills, with nothing but soil and grass, but the grass all burnt off by the heathens. Beyond, through hollows between hills, we once more came to climb an extremely high hill, and shortly (saw) from the height a large arm of the sea, or extremely large estuary.³⁷

He estimated that this body of water to be "four or five leagues in width in some places, and in others two, and at narrowest it may be a league wide or more." A league for these explorers was a rather inexact measurement that could range in actual distance from 2.5 to 4.5 miles. Crespi continues with the view to the north: "About a league and a half or two leagues from where we were, some mountains we made out that seemed to make an opening, and it seemed to us the estuary must go in by there, and as if there were a sort of harbor there within the mountains; we could not see clearly, as the mountains, which were high stood in the way." In other words, Crespi was describing San Bruno Mountain and Mount Tamalpais behind it. Because of these mountains the party could not locate the outlet of the Bay to the Pacific.

Portolá then made a fateful decision. Still in search of the *San José*, instead of proceeding north and finding the "Golden Gate", he ordered his party east down Sweeney Ridge toward San Bruno and then south through the San Andreas Valley in the direction of Millbrae. Costansó wrote that with the estuary "on our left hand," they "...travelled through a hollow...in which we stopped at sunset, in the cluster of live-oaks, which fringed the skirts of the high hills on the western side." Crespi described the place they camped, probably around U.S. Interstate 280, just west of Millbrae: "...we set up camp at the foot of these mountains, close to a lake where there were countless ducks, cranes, geese and others."

The next day, Sunday, November 5, the column of discovery continued. Costansó wrote:

We skirted along the estuary, upon its western side not within sight of it since we were separated from it by hills of the hollow...The country was well- favored: the mountains we were leaving to the right...showed themselves topped with handsome savins, with scrub oak and other lesser trees.

They were continuing to travel south, down the hollow later referred to as the San

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 16 of 36

Andreas Valley, following adjacent to the path of the future Interstate 280. Crespi commented on the abundant animal life:

Tracks have been encountered of large livestock here in this hollow, which...must have been made by bears, as droppings have been seen belonging to (them)... Also a great many deer have been seen together, while the scouts aver that when they explored here they succeeded in counting a band of 50 deer together.³⁸

After marching about four and a half hours they came to rest near a creek (due west of Burlingame) and were visited by three natives - - most probably *Ssalson* people. They were, according to Crespi, much like Indians previously met on the Peninsula, "very well-behaved: with gifts of black pies and a sort of cherries."

Portolá's exhausted group marched another day trying to get around the estuary. They made it as far as San Francisquito Creek, near present-day El Camino Real at the border between San Mateo and Santa Clara counties. Here, near a tall tree that could be seen for miles around (*Palo Alto*), they made camp, and Portolá ordered Sergeant Ortega with a few soldiers to continue the search. The scouting party proceeded south, then east, then north, around the Bay, but did not travel far enough up the eastern bayshore to spot the Golden Gate or, of course, the *San José*. On Friday evening, November 10, they returned to camp "very downcast,"³⁹ according to Costansó.

The gloomy report prompted Portolá to convene a council of his officers. Somehow the expedition had missed Monterey, and the sick and exhausted party was at its end of endurance. They then broke camp and retraced their steps to Sweeney Ridge, then the San Pedro Valley and on down the coast, eating their mules along the way.⁴⁰ At Monterey Bay, they again could not come to grips that this was the place described by Vizcaíno. On returning to San Diego, most of the party revealed that they had not been much impressed with what they had seen. It seems only Father Crespi knew that something significant had been found at this great estuary: "It is a very large and fine harbor, such that not only all the navy of our Most Catholic Majesty but those of all Europe could take shelter in it."⁴¹

Back in Mexico, opinion sided on Crespi's side of things. Early in 1770, under orders to continue his work, Portolá sent Serra, Costansó and his second in command, Pedro Fages, on to Monterey by sea in the *San Antonio*. He set out overland again with just 12 soldiers, leaving only eight to guard San Diego. He finally realized that

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 17 of 36

what the first party had twice walked by was Monterey Bay. California's second mission and second *presidio* would be established in the area. In the meantime he sent Fages north to try to figure out what it was that they had seen at the end of the first expedition. Fages and a small group of soldiers marched north-east via an inland route, reached the San Francisco Bay and made it far enough up the east bayshore to be the first Europeans to see the opening of the Bay at the Golden Gate.

Still, Gaspar de Portolá, the sophisticated Spaniard of noble blood, saw little in all this. He thought that if the Russians really wanted this God-forsaken part of the world, of which he had grave doubts, that they should have it as a punishment for their aggressive ambitions.⁴² He was soon recalled to Spain, retired and never came back to the Americas.

Why had not the Golden Gate and San Francisco Bay been discovered previously? The California coast had been charted and charted again. Cabrillo's crew, Drake, Vizcaíno and the many Manila galleons had sailed right on by. Certainly the persistent fogs of the Golden Gate could have hidden it from some. Most sailors, with or without fog, desired to sail west of the Farallon Islands to avoid catastrophe, making a discovery unlikely. Mostly though, the Golden Gate was difficult to see, even close by. Presently the famous Golden Gate Bridge marks the spot. Without it, the Gate is disguised. The opening itself is small. Moreover, the islands of the Bay, with the East Bay hills as a backdrop, give the appearance that the Gate is but another rocky cove along the Pacific Coast.⁴³

Thus the discovery was made by the first European *land* party to reach the Bay region, and the location of the event is today known as Sweeney Ridge. With the aid of San Mateo County historian Frank Stanger, California historian Herbert Bolton, of the University of California, after years of research, confirmed the location of the discovery site in 1947.⁴⁴ The site at Sweeney Ridge was designated a National Historic Landmark on May 23, 1968.⁴⁵

Historians have long hailed the discovery as crucial to the development of the Peninsula and surrounding areas. Had not Portolá happened upon "the great estuary," it may have taken many more years before a land party might have encountered San Francisco Bay, further retarding the march of events of the Spanish California period.⁴⁶ While Monterey was established in 1770, it only lasted six years as the Spanish northernmost outpost, for in 1776, the mission and *presidio* at San Francisco were established as a direct result of the discovery of the Bay.

The 1769 episode encouraged more exploration. In 1772, the new military governor of California, Pedro Fages, went north from Monterey as he did in 1770, except this

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 18 of 36

time he took along Father Crespi and penetrated much farther north and then east. In a failed attempt to get around the Bay, he charted the landscape deep into the East Bay and discovered Suisun Bay and the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta.

From the descriptions of 1772, the Spanish could now begin to put together the keys to the military protection and commercial promise of *Alta* California. They could now envision that if the Golden Gate was navigable then access to the greatest natural harbor on the west coast of the Americas could be gained. Because the Gate was so narrow, the entire San Francisco Bay might be sufficiently defended from the bluffs nearby against a naval threat. Advancing that train of thought, if the Golden Gate could be controlled and utilized, and if the Bay could likewise be controlled and utilized, then the deep waters of the Delta could be used by ships to sail into the interior of California. Further exploration indicated if the Delta could be sailed, then the Sacramento River might be navigated to the north and the San Joaquin River to the south. In the era before railroads, when maritime shipping was universally the most important type of transportation, these realizations had great significance.

It had all started with the Bay discovery in 1769. Although Spain lacked the personnel and resources to fully exploit the situation, and the later Mexican authorities were even less able to take advantage of it, after the United States military take-over of California in 1846 and the Gold Rush that followed three years later, the Americans were. They fortified the Golden Gate with a variety of forts and gun emplacements before the Civil War (1861-1865). The port and City of San Francisco grew in population and economic importance so that by the end of the nineteenth century it could be considered the "Imperial"⁴⁷ city of the American West. For thousands of years, California had existed as a difficult to reach place, inhabited by a native people unknown

to the rest of the world. From Portolá's chance discovery of the Bay forward, all would change. This California would become within 200 years the most populated, economically powerful and culturally influential state within the most important country in the world.

For the Spanish in the 1770s, they did aspire to move with purpose. In 1774, veteran explorer and now military governor of *Alta* California, Fernando Rivera, with Franciscan Padre Francisco Palou (like Crespi, a former student of Father Serra), proceeded north from Monterey with the charge of finding the Golden Gate, this time from the south. Along the way they passed through the "hollow" that had been written about back in 1769. They named the place *San Andres* (today San Andreas Valley and Lake, just east of Sweeney Ridge). They succeeded in reaching the northern tip of the Peninsula to view the Gate from that vantage point. Imagine the irony, as Rivera realized how close the 1769 party had come. If not for the report by the

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 19 of 36

Indians of the possibility of finding the *San José*, Portolá's party might have discovered the Golden Gate and the Bay.

The next step was to determine if the Golden Gate could be navigated. In 1775, under the command of Juan Manuel de Ayala, the seasoned ship *San Carlos* successfully passed through on August 5. The crew of *San Carlos* were to meet up with a land party from Monterey led by Captain Bruno Heceta.⁴⁸ They explored the Bay for 42 days and were the first, among many other firsts, to map the San Mateo County bayline. The soldiers they were to rendezvous with were caught up in other duties and never met the *San Carlos*.⁴⁹ Although there exists no records to prove it, local historians have surmised that Heceta later named San Bruno Mountain (northeast of Sweeney Ridge) after his patron saint.⁵⁰

Now that the feasibility of establishing San Francisco as a port had been proven, the Spanish needed to set in motion plans to create a mission and *presidio* there. Indicative of the military importance the Spanish assigned to San Francisco, although more than 20 missions would eventually be established in *Alta* California, only four *presidios* would be built - - one at San Diego, the southern bastion: one at Monterey, supposedly the northern sentinel: San Francisco in 1776: and, the last, Santa Barbara in 1782.

By the mid-1770s, the Spanish were beginning to concede that making a successful colony of *Alta* California would require more than simply making the Indians new subjects of the King. Additional colonists were needed. A trail from central Mexico was proposed by Spanish frontier military officer Juan Bautista de Anza. Beginning in 1774, he blazed the trail that would bear his name from Sonora clear to San Francisco.

In 1776, Lieutenant Colonel Anza's party of 240 settlers, made the occupation of the San Francisco Peninsula possible for the Spanish. He recruited soldiers and farmers from the provinces of Sonora and Sinaloa in Mexico. Anza described his conscripts to Antonio Bucareli, the Spanish Viceroy at Mexico City:

...with regard to the forty families..., let me say that the people...I considered best suited for the purpose...are those...in the direst poverty and misery, and so I have no doubt they would most willingly and gladly embrace the advantage which your Excellency may... offer them...⁵¹

Included in the party were soldiers, 29 wives of soldiers and their numerous children (within this contingent was the Sanchez family that would come to own a large portion of the north San Francisco Peninsula including Sweeney Ridge), 20 volunteers, three

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 20 of 36

vaqueros (cowboys), three servants, three Indian interpreters, three Franciscan padres and officers Anza and Lieutenant José Moraga.⁵² They also took with them 1,000 head of livestock. After an incredible journey they reached Monterey on March 10, 1776.

While the settlers rested there, Anza took a small group with him, including Franciscan Padre Pedro Font, to pick out sites for a mission and *presidio*. They marched up what became El Camino Real on the Peninsula. At about Belmont they received the word that the Lamchin to the south and the Ssalson to the north were at war. At a good-sized creek in Ssalson country, the group rested long enough for Padre Font to give it the name "San Mateo". The City and County of San Mateo would eventually take the name too. Why is lost to history. They crossed the Creek on March 26. The feast day for St. Matthew is September 21.

On March 27, Anza's group reached *Yelamu* country (San Francisco). They camped just south of today's Golden Gate Bridge. They immediately found the *Yelamu* to be friendly; a couple of the natives brought them firewood as a gift.

Anza chose the site for the *Presidio* on bluffs overlooking the strategically important Golden Gate. Three miles to the southwest, the site for Mission *San Francisco de Asís* was selected. After two days in San Francisco, they headed back, but only after further exploring the Carquinez Strait, the junction of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and the Diablo Mountain Range.⁵³

After his return to Monterey, Anza was recalled for other frontier service. The job of moving part of the party up to San Francisco fell to José Moraga. The pioneers numbered 75.

During the early years of the Spanish colonization of California, its leaders were in disagreement. Serra and the Franciscans quarreled with the military governors about conduct of soldiers and treatment of Indians. However it was the closing of the Anza trail in 1781 that became a true watershed in the period. In 1780, the Franciscans established two missions in Yuma Indian country, on the Anza trail just west of the Colorado River within today's southeastern California. The cattle of the Spanish destroyed part of the Yuma's supply of mesquite beans. Other antagonisms occurred. The Yumas had a more war-like culture than most other California Indians. They destroyed both missions, then surprised Governor Fernando Rivera and 30 soldiers. All the men were killed including Rivera and four padres. The women and children of the mission communities were taken as prisoners. Some of the captives were later ransomed, but the Spanish made no attempt to rescue the hostages or punish the

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 21 of 36

Yumas. The Anza trail was closed for the rest of the Spanish period of Southwestern History.

Alta California now became sort of an island. Unfavorable winds and currents of the Pacific made maritime contact difficult to the west, Russians and wilderness lay to the north, the lofty Sierra Nevadas lined the eastern fringe of California, and deserts and hostile Indians were to the south. Therefore the rate of colonial activity was slow. In 1781, about 600 people in California could be considered Spanish. By 1821, Spain's last year in control of *Alta* California, exclusive of Christianized Indians, the number had only increased to 3,000. Even this small augmentation was due to a robust birth rate, with practically no immigration from other parts of the Spanish Empire.

Spanish military presence was light. In the early 1790s, British Commissioner George Vancouver visited *Alta* California while working out details for a treaty. He observed all four *presidios* and found them weak.

Spanish Missions

While the Spanish government and military seemed incapable of gaining momentum in *Alta* California, the Catholic Franciscans made remarkable progress. Before he died in 1784, Serra had supervised the establishment of nine missions and the baptism of 5300 souls.⁵⁴

Reflecting upon this rapid change, the National Park Service's *Five Views: An Ethnic History Site Survey for California* declares: "Traditionally, California Indians have been portrayed in history as a docile primitive people, who openly embraced the invading Spaniards and were rapidly subdued. This simplistic contention adds little to a realistic understanding of native history in California and undoubtedly is derived from crude feelings of racial superiority on the part of its advocates."⁵⁵ The relationship between the Spanish and the Indians was not a peaceful co-existence. Rather, the history of California Indians is the story of an attempt to survive a series of invasions and the hardships that ensued.

On July 16, 1769, the Spanish founded the first mission in California at San Diego. It is estimated that there were about 310,000 Indians living in California at the time.⁵⁶ However, over the next 80 years, this number was to change drastically, along with the lifestyle and culture of the Indians.

According to the Smithsonian Institution's *Handbook of North American Indians*: "Spain's Indian policy at the time of the invasion of California was a mixture of

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 22 of 36

economic, military, political, and religious motives. Indians were regarded by the Spanish government as subjects of the Crown and human beings capable of receiving the sacraments of Christianity."⁵⁷

Robert Archibold adds: "It was essential under 'missionization' that California Indians be 'reduced' into settled and stable communities where they would become good subjects of the King and children of God. Missionization required a brutal lifestyle akin in several respects to the forced movement of Black people from Africa to the American South."⁵⁸

Thus, Jack D. Forbers concludes: "it should be clear, then, that the missions of California were not solely religious institutions. They were, on the contrary, instruments designed to bring about a total change in culture in a brief period of time."⁵⁹

The missions were built with Indian labor. This seems ironic given the devastating effect the mission system had on Indian population and culture, but it must be remembered that the Spanish saw the Indian neophytes as "little more than an energy source which cost nothing to acquire and nothing to maintain — they were an expendable resource. If the mission system had been progressive, if the priests (and the Mexican Presidents) had been able to learn from observation and experience, and thus allow changes to occur which would have been accommodations to problems of managing the neophyte populations, then there could have developed an operation which would have become more humane, and more consistent with doctrinal theory."⁶⁰

The Ohlone people were among the first to be brought into the *Alta* California mission system, and among the Ramaytush language group of Ohlones, the *Yelamu*, of present day San Francisco, had the first experience with permanent Spanish settlement. Initially, the missionaries noted that the Indian people seemed afraid of the newcomers, but by the spring of 1777, some of the younger *Yelamu* people overcame their fears and began taking religious instruction. On June 24, the initial three were baptized at the mission. The first of these was 20-year-old Chamis from the *Yelamu* village of Chutchui, whose mother was from *Pruristac*. The other two were boys of about nine years of age.⁶¹

It is unknown how much these youngsters understood about the significance of this commitment, but their lives would be changed tremendously and forevermore. They now lived at the Mission with its new foods, wore clothes of cloth, and lived under ceilings and behind walls. They learned to plant and cultivate crops, herd

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 23 of 36

domesticated animals and tan hides. They found the padres stern. The work schedule was rigid, and there was no going back to the previous way of life. They knew if they were to run away they would be brought back by the soldiers and be harshly punished.⁶²

By the end of the year, 32 more neophytes were brought into the church. They were all young: 23 males and 9 females. Twenty-seven were *Yelamu*, four were *Urebure* (San Bruno) and one was a *Lamchin* (Redwood City).

Between 1777 and 1781, the converts continued to be predominantly children and adolescent *Yelamu*. In fact by 1780, most of the young *Yelamu* had joined the Mission. Not until 1783 were a number of married couples baptized.⁶³ Progress was steady so that by 1800 close to all of the Peninsula's Indians were within the mission system.⁶⁴

The *Aramai* were among the first to be taken in of the people south of San Francisco. Indeed, between 1779 and 1784, most of the *Aramai* had become Christians. The headman at *Pruristac*, *Yagueche*, was the first chieftain of the Peninsula people to become a neophyte and had his conversion completed before the *Yelamu* headman by one year. His baptism took place June 7, 1783, when he was believed to be 70 years old.⁶⁵ He joined the church with one of his wives and two *Aramai* girls from *Timigtac*.

Most *Chiguans* (Half Moon Bay) were brought in between 1783 and 1787: the *Cotegens* (Purisima Creek), 1786-1791 and the *Oljons* (San Gregorio Creek) 1786-1793. The *Quirostes* (Año Nuevo) were taken in by three missions - - San Francisco, Santa Clara and Santa Cruz during the 1790s.

The year 1783 seems to have been a key one for Mission *San Francisco de Asís*. That year, almost as many married couples came into the church as had been the case for the seven years before. Previously only 10 couples had been baptized, but in 1783 there were nine, among them four from *Pruristac*, more than any other village. Considering the distance from the mission to *Pruristac*, and its small size, this village sent a proportionately large number of people to be converted that year.⁶⁶

The conversions of couples continued in 1784, including another two from *Pruristac*. Probably recognizing the progress being made, Franciscan Father President Serra visited San Francisco that year, at the seven-year anniversary of the first baptism at the Mission.

In 1785, larger numbers of *Urebure*, *Ssalson* and *Lamachin*, people of the Peninsula's

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 24 of 36

bayshore, joined the Church. By 1787, the last of the *Yelamu* were in. Between 1786 and 1787, Peninsula bayside conversions increased yet more rapidly. After a three year lull of activity, in 1790, more baptisms took place among the eastern Peninsula groups until by the end of the year nearly twothirds of them were Christians. The final wave of conversion for the baysiders occurred in 1793, including the last of the *Ssalsons*.

The rapidity and completeness of the sweep of these people into the Church seems extraordinary. Randall Milliken in his 1995 study, *Time of Little Choice*, explains that this transformation resulted because of the shattering by the Spanish of the balances that had made Ohlones so successful in an unchanging world. While Spanish livestock took over the pasture land, Spanish law prohibited the Indians from burning brush to provide grasslands for the animals they hunted. Spanish diseases depleted local populations and broke the pattern of teamwork among the people. The survivors simply had not enough hands to continue the old ways. Stronger groups, less effected originally, could temporarily dominate their weakened neighbors.

According to Milliken the people “lost faith in the feasibility of continuing their traditional way...,”⁶⁷ and, sadly, once the decision was made to be taken in by the Church “...they left behind a major portion of their identity.”⁶⁸ He elaborates that the Franciscans engaged in a campaign of “cultural denigration” in which they “...sought to make the native people feel ashamed of their traditional way of life and envious of Spanish culture.” In short, the old ways “...provided no answers in the context of the new social reality...” This acceptance of “...a foreign culture as inherently superior to one’s own is, in a sense, to depreciate one’s self.” The Franciscans simply replaced tribal elders as their “supernatural spirits seemed stronger.”⁶⁹ Thus the people were forced to seek a new identity.

Meanwhile the thought of any defensive alliances that the people might forge to oppose the Spanish was impossible. The Indians of Central California, simply did not think of themselves as a single people.

Nevertheless, when one considers the few padres and soldiers involved with this cultural transformation, it is amazing that so many native people could have been indoctrinated into the new faith in so short a time. The dedication and philosophies of the Franciscans are to be acknowledged as some reasons behind their success.⁷⁰ The padres sincerely believed they were gifting the Indian people with a religion that would allow them a blissful afterlife. Without them, the Indians’ souls would be lost. By converting to Catholicism, an Indian became a *gente de razón*, that is a person of reason. He was now also a loyal Spanish subject, and intermarriage between the natives and Spanish was not discouraged.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 25 of 36

In the eyes of the priests the new life was morally enriching for the Indians. The disciplined activities that came with their conversion was not just in their new religion but moved them from savagery to civilization. To transform the Indians from wild beings would take a huge effort that would be wrenching, even unnatural for the natives, and be of substantial work for the Franciscans.⁷¹ Thus catechism and prayer needed the augmentation of a regimented work schedule to complete the Indians as “people of reason.”

In spite of spiritual philosophy, the realities of the mission system for the Indians were grim. By the 1780s, for the mission people at Mission *San Francisco de Asís*, this meant a life of confinement, spiritually and physically. Most lived beneath the bell tower, except for a few children of gentiles (the unconverted), who were allowed to return to their parents with the idea that they would try to tempt mothers and fathers into the mission fold as well.⁷²

The demanding life for the neophytes included frequent masses in which the people kneeled for long periods. They learned European skills such as spinning and weaving for the women and farming for the men. Long work days were expected, and soldiers were in close proximity to maintain order.⁷³ Corporal punishment were inflicted frequently on both the men and the women.

By the 1780s, the Franciscans were realizing problems with the system at San Francisco. In order to have a successful mission community, it was necessary to have abundant fresh water, enough arable land and extensive pasturage. Mission *San Francisco de Asis* had none of these. Situated at the tip of the Peninsula for strategic reasons, it had limited sources of brackish water, sandy soil for cultivation and little close-by pasturage for livestock. As early as 1783, the priests were complaining to Spanish officials in Mexico about troubles feeding all the people. As the population continued to grow, so did the food problem.

Worst yet were the diseases that the Spanish brought with them, of which the Indians had no immunity. At Mission *San Francisco de Asís*, up to 30% of a population might die in a bad year. The high death rate combined with a low birth rate among the demoralized people was a disturbing trend to contend with for the padres. It is important to note that the major epidemics in California were still to come. The first measles epidemic did not hit until 1806. However, long before sickness among the Ohlone was severely compounded by the austere living and working conditions imposed by the Spanish resulting in the drastic population declines.

The depopulation first hit San Francisco in 1785. The death rate jumped to

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 26 of 36

15.5% with 48 people dying. The particular sickness that did this awful damage was not identified in the records of the padres.

The creation of a mission outpost southwest of Sweeney Ridge in the San Pedro Valley (now the Linda Mar area of Pacifica) initiates an important theme of San Francisco Peninsula history.

From this point through to our modern era, the resources of the southern part of the Peninsula (now San Mateo County) have been utilized to help San Francisco succeed, first as mission and then, later, as an important, internationally renowned city.

The idea of creating agricultural outposts for the California missions did not belong solely to the San Pedro Valley. Mission San Francisco itself would have at least two more active centers, at San Mateo and San Rafael.⁷⁴ However no outpost was more important to the survival of a mission nor extensive in its activities in California than what became known as *Asistencia San Pedro y San Pablo* (Saint Peter and Saint Paul's Ranch).

What moved the padres to establish the outpost? The crowded conditions at San Francisco, and perhaps the lack of food too, had helped fester disease there. By moving down the Peninsula with some of the people the crowding could be somewhat alleviated. Moreover, the natural limitations of San Francisco required an agricultural site that could grow sufficient crops of grain, fruit and vegetables. Greater pasturage for the livestock, especially cattle, was also needed. Finally, many potential neophytes lived south of San Francisco. Especially those on the coast were difficult to reach. An outpost closer to the gentiles would facilitate more conversions.

Why the San Pedro Valley? Back in 1774, when he was with Rivera, Father Palóu had noted the place as well-suited for a fully functional mission. Although timber for construction was not abundant, the valley did not "lack land, water, or pasture for cattle."⁷⁵ Indeed the Spanish were well acquainted with San Pedro. Here Portolá had camped just before discovering the Bay. Also the friendly *Aramai* of *Pruristac* came from here, and it was not far from the San Francisco Mission - - only about 10 miles. After some study, the padres agreed with Palóu's assessment.

The place appeared to have fertile soil, San Pedro Creek ran all year round, good grazing land was present, and the sun seemed to find a hole in the fog and clouds at San Pedro.

Padres Pedro Cambón and Miguel Giribet made the decision to move forward in 1786. Construction began at the village site of *Pruristac* and made use of the wattle technique of erecting wooden poles upright in the ground and then plastering the

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 27 of 36

framework with mud. The structures were then white washed with lime from the newly found quarry at Mori Point.

The *Asistencia* was a success in its first year. By 1787, all the crops necessary for the Mission San Francisco were grown here. The report back to Mexico even mentioned a surplus of food and that more could be cultivated if a market existed for sale of the produce.

The population count of *San Pedro y San Pablo* was never definitely stated in the reports of the Franciscans. However, we have knowledge that there was considerable activity there among the people, beyond the construction and successful farming endeavors. The first recorded birth took place on March 10, 1786 - - a baby girl. The church of the outpost recorded its first baptism on June 15, 1787.⁷⁶

In fact 25 of the 109 baptisms recorded by the priests at *Mission San Francisco de Asís* were conducted at San Pedro that year. The neophytes included people from both the coast and Bayside communities. The padres were delighted with the activity there and remarked that the new outpost would allow them to recruit neophytes as far south as Año Nuevo.

During the years of the outpost's greatest activity, although most coast people still received baptism at San Francisco, a significant number were brought into the Church at the *Asistencia*. The first were *Cotegan* (Purisima Creek) and *Oljon* (San Gregorio Creek), including the *Oljon* headman, 30-year-old *Ysus*. Indians from as far down as Año Nuevo (the *Quirostes*) would eventually receive baptism there.⁷⁷ By 1791, operations were still robust. That year 70 baptisms were recorded at *the San Pedro y San Pablo* Church. Eventually, 160 baptisms would be performed there.⁷⁸

The first recorded burial at the outpost took place May 5, 1786. Another death that summer was a granddaughter of *Yagueche*, once the headman at *Pruristac*. In 1787, Father Giribet conducted five more funerals there. Eventually more than 135 people were buried in the Valley, in a cemetery that has been lost in time. By mid-1787, Padres Cambón and Giribet had recognized that the number of people at San Pedro warranted their commitment to having one priest say Mass there every Sunday. Between 1789 and 1791, there were nearly equal numbers of burials at the Mission as there was at the *Asistencia*. This might infer that an equal number of neophytes lived at the two places, giving San Pedro a possible population of 300 people.⁷⁹

Among those baptized at *San Pedro y San Pablo* in 1791 was a *Quiroste* named

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 28 of 36

Charquin. Within just a few days of his new Christian experience, this neophyte fled to hide in the Santa Cruz Mountains, near Año Nuevo, the place he had lived before. That winter he became the first San Francisco Bay Area Indian to organize active resistance to Spanish authority. A Spanish patrol captured *Charquin*. He was imprisoned at the San Diego *Presidio* in May of 1793. Some have speculated that this hostility may have played a part in the eventual decision to withdraw, or at least partially withdraw from the San Pedro Valley.

From the point of view of the Franciscans, the founding of Mission Santa Cruz, in 1791, may have led to consideration that less activity on the Coast would now be required of the priests at San Francisco. Also that year, Padre Cambón, who had helped establish *San Francisco de Asís* with Palóu back in 1776, decided to retire. His energy may have been a crucial factor in keeping the activities at *San Pedro* so vital.⁸⁰

There can be no doubt that disease, which first struck the *Asistencia* in 1791, had influence in diminishing activity there. By the end of the year the death rate at San Pedro had jumped from an average of about a dozen a year to 47, while baptisms dropped to practically none. Perhaps witnessing the devastating effects of this illness caused *Charquin* to flee. He was joined by others. In 1792, another 50 people died.

Activity at San Pedro dropped substantially in 1792. The last wedding there took place January 10. Only ten people were baptized that year, all before July (in San Francisco, there were 123 baptisms in 1792).

The next year a new farming center was established at San Mateo Creek on the Bayside of the Peninsula (at today's Baywood and El Camino Real in the City of San Mateo). Livestock found good grazing there, and the Franciscans built an adobe building and began planting corn, vegetables and wheat. No report came from San Pedro in 1794.⁸¹

The year after the disaster of 1792 at *San Pedro y San Pablo* was also the peak year for Coastal Peninsula people counted within *Mission San Francisco de Asís*. Some 197 neophytes (28%) were among the total of 711. Because of sicknesses, their number fell to 128 in just two years. By 1800, mission Indians from the San Mateo Coast numbered only 81 individuals.⁸²

Of course the larger story of the fate of the Ohlone people is not a happy one. By 1810, all of them had been taken into the missions. Of the 17,000 people that once made-up this culture, few were left after 41 years of contact with the Spanish.⁸³

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 29 of 36

Notes

¹ Randall Milliken; A

Time of Little

Choice: The

Disintegration of Tribal

Culture in the San

Francisco Bay Area

1769-1810,

Bellena Press, Menlo

Park, CA, 1995, p.

19.

² Randall Milliken, Laurence

H. Shoup and Beverly

Ortiz,

Ohlone/Costanoan

Indians of the San

Francisco

Peninsula and their Neighbors, Yesterday and Today, prepared by Archaeological and Historical Consultants, Oakland, California for the National Park Service, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, San Francisco, California, June, 2009, p. 289.

³ Milliken, *Time*, p. 16.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 18.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 18-19.

⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 21.

⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 23.

⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 24.

⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 27.

¹⁰ Richard Levy,

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 30 of 36

"Costanoan," in *Handbook of North American Indians*, Vol. 8, Smithsonian Institute: Washington D.C., 1978, p. 489.

¹¹ Milliken, *Time*, p.

28. ¹² Milliken at al.,

Ohlone, p. 2. ¹³ *Ibid.*, p. 287.

¹⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 66.

¹⁵ James T. Davis, "Trade Routes and Economic Exchange among the California Indians," The University of California Archaeological Survey, Report No. 54, March 31, 1961.

¹⁶ Alan K. Brown, "Indians of San Mateo County," *La Peninsula*, San Mateo County Historical Association, Winter 1973-74, p. 6.

¹⁷ James J. Rawls and Walton Bean, *California: An Interpretive History*, 9th edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 2008, p. 23.

¹⁸ Dora Beale Polk, *The Island of California: A History of the Myth*, University of Nebraska Press, Lincoln, 1991, pp. 93-104.

¹⁹ Rose Marie Beebe and Robert M. Senkewicz, *Lands of Promise and Despair*, Heyday Books, Berkeley, CA, 2001, p. 38.

²⁰ Henry R. Wagner, *Spanish Voyages: to the Northwest Coast of America in the Sixteenth Century*, N. Israel, Amsterdam, 1966 (originally published in 1929), p. 160.

²¹ Rawls, *Interpretive*, p. 28.

²² Denis Rechertz and Gerald D. Saxton, *Mapping and Empire: Soldiers – Engineers on the Southwestern Frontier*, University of Texas Press, Austin Texas, 2005, p. 25.

²³ Beebe, *Lands*, p. 44.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 31 of 36

²⁴ Rawls, *Interpretive*, p. 29.

²⁵ Charles E. Chapman, *A History of California, the Spanish Period*, the Macmillan Company, New York, NY, 1939, p. 139.

²⁶ F. Boneu Companys, *Gaspar de Portolá: Explorer and Founder of California*, translated by Alan K. Brown, Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, Lerida, Spain, 1983, p. 105.

²⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 23.

²⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 122.

²⁹ Rawls, *Interpretive*, p. 36.

³⁰ Juan Crespi, *A Description of Distant Roads: Original Journals of the First Expedition into California*, translated and edited by Alan K. Brown, San Diego State University Press, 2001, p. 579.

³¹ *Ibid.*, p. 583.

³² Frank M. Stanger and Alan K. Brown, *Who Discovered the Golden Gate? The Explorers' Own Accounts*, San Mateo County Historical Association, San Mateo, CA, 1969, p. 92.

³³ Peter Browning, editor, *The Discovery of San Francisco Bay The Portola Expedition of 1769-1770, the Diary of Miguel Costansó*, Great West Books, Lafayette, CA, 1992, p. 117.

³⁴ Stanger, *Who*, p. 98.

³⁵ Donald Eugene Smith, Frederick Taggart, *Diary of Gaspar de Portola During the California Expedition of 1769-1770*, Academy of Pacific Coast History, 1909, p. 39.

³⁶ Stanger, *Who*, p. 100. ³⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 101.

³⁸ Crespi, *Description*, p. 599.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 32 of 36

³⁹ Stanger, *Who*, p. 105.

⁴⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 14.

⁴¹ Rawls, *Interpretive*, p. 38.

⁴² *Ibid.*, p. 39.

⁴³ Stanger, *Who*, p. 4.

⁴⁴ Michael Svanevik and Shirley Burgett, *San Mateo County Chronicles*, published privately, San Mateo, CA, 1995, pp. 104-105.

⁴⁵ Leo R. Barker, *Archeological Management Assessment: San Mateo Trails Study Including Phleger Estate, Milagra Ridge, Cattle Hill, Mori Point, Sweeney Ridge*, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, San Francisco, CA, 2006, p. 12.

⁴⁶ Alan Hynding, *From Frontier to Suburb: The Story of the San Mateo Peninsula*, Star Publishing Company, Belmont, 1982, p. 14.

⁴⁷ Greg Brechin, *Imperial San Francisco: Urban Power, Earthly Ruin*, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1999, pp. xxi-xxvi.

⁴⁸ Roy Walter Cloud, *History of San Mateo County, California*, S.J. Clarke Pub. Co., Chicago, Illinois, 1928, p. 41.

⁴⁹ Chapman, *History*, p. 280.

⁵⁰ Frank M. Stanger, *Peninsula Community Book: San Mateo County, California*, A.H. Cawston, San Mateo, CA, 1946, pp. 16-17.

⁵¹ Richard F. Pourade, *Anza Conquers the Desert: The Anza Expedition from Mexico to California and the Founding of San Francisco, 1774-1776*, San Diego Union Tribune Publishing, San Diego, CA, 1971, p. 73.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 33 of 36

⁵² Herbert Eugene Bolton, *Anza's California Expeditions: Font's Complete Diary of the Second Anza Expedition*, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1930, pp. 21-23.

⁵³ Milliken et al., *Ohlone*, p. 92.

⁵⁴ Keith Lumis, "As the Padres Saw It," *The Californians*, Vol. 2, No. 5, September/October, 1984, p. 12.

⁵⁵ Robert F. Heizer, et al., *Handbook of North American Indians*, Vol. 8, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., 1978, p. 99.

⁵⁶ Sherburne Friend Cook, "Expedition to the Interior of California: Central Valley, 1820-40," *University of California Anthropological Records*, 2 (5), 1962, p. 92.

⁵⁷ Heizer, *Handbook*, p. 100.

⁵⁸ Robert Archibold, "Indian Labor at California Missions: Slavery or Salvation," *Journal of San Diego History*, Vol. XXIV, 1978, p. 172.

⁵⁹ Jack D. Forbers, *Native Americans of California and Nevada: A Handbook*, Naturegraph Publishers, Headsburg, CA, 1969, p. 29.

⁶⁰ Evelyn I. Banning, "Helen Hunt Jackson in San Diego," *Journal of San Diego History*, Vol. XXIV, 1978, p. 136.

⁶¹ Milliken, *Ohlone*, p. 95.

⁶² Frank M. Stanger, *South From San Francisco: San Mateo County, California Its History and Heritage*, San Mateo County Historical Association, San Mateo, CA, 1963, p. 19.

⁶³ Stephen A. Dietz, *Report of Archaeological Investigations at Sanchez Adobe Park Historic District*, Archaeological Consulting and Research Services, Inc. for San Mateo County, CA, 1979, p. 20.

⁶⁴ Hynding, *From*, p. 20.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 34 of 36

⁶⁵ Milliken et al., *Ohlone*, p. 98.

⁶⁶ Dietz, *Report*, p. 22.

⁶⁷ Milliken, *Time*, p. 120.

⁶⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 219. ⁶⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 223.

⁷⁰ Father Francis F. Guest, OFM, "The Habit of Affection: Pastoral Theology, Padres and Indians," *The Californians*, Vol. 2, No. 5, September/October 1984, pp. 16-18.

⁷¹ Steven W. Hackel, "Land, Labor and Production: the Colonial Economy of Spanish and Mexican California,"

California History, California Historical Society, San Francisco, CA, Vol. 76, No. 2-3, 1997, pp. 111-146.

⁷² Dietz, *Report*, p. 21.

⁷³ Malcolm Margolin, *The Ohlone Way*, Heyday Books, Berkeley, CA, 1978, p. 162.

⁷⁴ Frank M. Stanger, "The Hospice or Mission San Mateo," *California Historical Society Quarterly*, September, 1944, p. 246.

⁷⁵ Francisco Palou, *Historical Memoirs of New California*, translated and edited by Herbert Eugene Bolton, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1926, Vol. 3, p. 286.

⁷⁶ Dietz, *Report*, p. 30.

⁷⁷ Milliken et al., *Ohlone*, p. 99.

⁷⁸ Quiney D. Newell, *Constructing Lives at Mission San Francisco: Native Californians and Hispanic Colonists, 1776-1821*, University of New Mexico Press, 2009, p. 170.

⁷⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 36.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Property Name: California Historical Landmarks Associated with the Ohlone-Portola Heritage Trail

Page 35 of 36

⁸⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 33.

⁸¹ Stanger, *South*, p. 20.

⁸² Milliken et al., *Ohlone*, p. 101.

⁸³ Milliken, *Ohlone*, p. 2.